MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

NEVADA ORTHOPEDIC & SPINE CENTER 2650 N TENAYA WAY 301 LAS VEGAS NV 89128

Respondent Name

TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE CO

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-13-2083-01

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number: 54

MFDR Date Received

April 19, 2013

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The patient was initially treated on 06/18/2012 with Dr. Daniel Lee. At this point, we were unaware that the patient's injury was work related. The bill for this date of service was sent to the patient's private insurance. On 07/06/2012, Dr. Lee's assistant spoke to the patient who then stated that his injury was work related. Our workers compensation department contacted the patient to inform him that an authorization needed to be obtained in order to continue treatment. On 8/01/2012, we received an approval of request to change treating doctor for the Texas Department of Insurance. On 08/03/2012, Dr. Daniel Lee agreed to continue treatment under the Texas Fee Schedule, which was a mutual agreement with claims examiner Gary Suire. At this point, the charges for date of service 6/18/2012 and 7/16/2012 was reversed from the private insurance, and re-filed to the workers compensation insurance."

Amount in Dispute: \$330.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The following is the carrier's statement with respect this dispute of 6/18/12. The requestor provided E/M services and an x-ray to the claimant on the date above then billed Texas Mutual on or about 9/28/12. (See requestor's DWC-60 packet.) One can see the bill was already past the 95 day timeline prescribed by rule 133.20. No payment is due."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Co., 6210 E. Hwy. 290, Austin, TX 78723

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
June 18, 2012	CPT Codes 99203 and 72110	\$330.00	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for health care providers to pursue a medical

- fee dispute.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.20 sets out the procedures for health care providers to submit workers' compensation medical bills for reimbursement.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4 sets out the rules for non-Commission communications.
- 4. Texas Labor Code §408.027 sets out the rules for timely submission of a claim by a health care provider.
- 5. Texas Labor Code §408.0272 sets out the rules for certain exceptions for untimely submission of a claim by a health care provider.
- 6. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - 29 The time limit for filing has expired.
 - 731 Per 133.20 provider shall not submit a medical bill later than the 95th day after the date the service, for services on or after 9/1/05
 - 193 Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly.
 - 891 No additional payment after reconsideration.

Issues

- 1. Do the Texas Labor Code and applicable rules apply?
- 2. Is the timely filing deadline applicable to the medical bills for the services in dispute?
- 3. Did the requestor forfeit the right to reimbursement for the services in dispute?

Findings

- 1. The requestor provided Evaluation and Management and x-ray services in the state of Nevada on June 18, 2012 to an injured employee with an existing Texas Workers' Compensation claim. The requestor was dissatisfied with the respondent's final action. The requestor filed for reconsideration and was denied payment after reconsideration. The requestor filed for dispute resolution under 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307. The Division concludes that because the requestor sought the administrative remedy outlined in 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 for resolution of the matter of the request for additional payment, the dispute is to be decided under the jurisdiction of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act and applicable rules.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.20(b) states, in pertinent part, that, except as provided in Texas Labor Code §408.0272, "a health care provider shall not submit a medical bill later than the 95th day after the date the services are provided. In accordance with subsection (c) of the statute, the health care provider shall submit the medical bill to the correct workers' compensation insurance carrier not later than the 95th day after the date the health care provider is notified of the health care provider's erroneous submission of the medical bill. A health care provider who submits a medical bill to the correct workers' compensation insurance carrier shall include a copy of the original medical bill submitted, a copy of the explanation of benefits (EOB) if available, and sufficient documentation to support why one or more of the exceptions for untimely submission of a medical bill under §408.0272 should be applied..." Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the claim was initially submitted to Jas Insurance the injured employees private insurance. On July 6, 2012 the injured employee "stated that his injury was work related." On August 1, 2012 the requestor received approval for the request for change of treating doctors; however, the requestor did not submit the bill to the respondent until September 28, 2012; which is past the 95 day deadline for filing initial medical bills. Therefore, no convincing documentation was found to support that any of the exceptions described in Texas Labor Code §408.0272 apply to the services in this dispute. For that reason, the requestor in this dispute was required to submit the medical bill not later than 95 days after the date the disputed services were provided.
- 3. The Division finds the requestor is not due reimbursement for the disputed date of service.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized S	Signature
--------------	-----------

		October 10, 2013
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, 37 Texas Register 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.